

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,628	04/02/2004	Lachlan Everett Hall	IRA003US	9574
24011 7590 04/22/2008 SILVERBROOK RESEARCH PTY LTD			EXAMINER	
393 DARLING STREET			MARTIN, LAURA E	
BALMAIN, 20 AUSTRALIA)41		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2853	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/815,628 HALL ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit LAURA E. MARTIN 2853 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extraosins of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (9) MONTHS from the maining date of the communication.	
If NO people for repty is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will repty SIX (6) MONTHS from the mating clade of this communication. Faiture for repty within the set or contended period for repty will by statute on become ABANDONED (36 U.S.C.§ 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patter term adjustment. See 37 CFR. 1704 (bit).	
Status	
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 April 2008</u> .	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-28</u> is/are pending in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-28</u> is/are rejected.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 April 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 	
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	
Attachment(s)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application. Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/29/04,2/22/05,2/15/07. 6) Other: PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20080414 Office Action Summary

Art Unit: 2853

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Invention I, Species VI in the reply filed on 4/9/2008 is acknowledged.

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 10/29/2004, 2/22/2005, and 2/15/2007 are in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the examiner has considered the information disclosure statements.

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains the word "comprising". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

The use of the trademark NETPAGE has been noted in this application. It should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology.

Art Unit: 2853

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPC2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPC 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Omum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPC 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 20-28 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 11-18 of U.S. Patent No. 7024941 B2. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the ink compositions of the patented claims fully embrace the compositions of the instant claims in an anticipatory manner.

Art Unit: 2853

Claims 20-28 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1- 7 of U.S. Patent No. 7282164 B2. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the ink compositions of the patented claims fully embrace the compositions of the instant claims in an anticipatory manner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 20-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Albert et al (US 5282894 A).

Albert discloses the following claim limitations:

An ink containing an IR-absorbing metal-dithiolene dye (column 1, lines 5-16) containing a dye pre-selected from a metal-dithiolene of formula (II) wherein M is Ni, j is 1, k is 2, n 1, W is of formula CH_2SO_3H , CH_2SO_3Na , or CH_2SO_3K , up to three CH_2 groups in the carbocycle may optionally be replaced by N, and up to four H atoms in the carbocycle may be optionally replaced by a group independently selected from C_{1-6} alkyl, C_{1-6} aryl, C_{1-6} arylalkyl, halogen, hydroxyl, or amino (column 3, lines 12-50).

Art Unit: 2853

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LAURA E. MARTIN whose telephone number is (571)272-2160. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:00 - 3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen D. Meier can be reached on (571) 272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/L. E. M./ Examiner, Art Unit 2853

Laura F Martin

/Manish S. Shah/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2853 Application Number